

Option 1: Hybrid Courtroom (Proposed Project Recommendations)				
Court	Hybrid Courtroom (fully fund)	Small Court	Remaining Pro Rata	Allocation**
Court of Appeal			\$ 559,409	\$ 559,409
Alameda			\$ 264,502	\$ 264,502
Alpine				
Amador		\$ 350,000		\$ 350,000
Butte				
Calaveras		\$ 117,221		\$ 117,221
Colusa				
Contra Costa			\$ 157,059	\$ 157,059
Del Norte	\$ 7,800		\$ 11,401	\$ 19,201
El Dorado			\$ 28,081	\$ 28,081
Fresno*			\$ 187,898	\$ 187,898
Glenn		\$ 104,058		\$ 104,058
Humboldt	\$ 5,380		\$ 26,295	\$ 31,675
Imperial				
Inyo		\$ 12,000		\$ 12,000
Kern	\$ 946,853		\$ 188,826	\$ 1,135,679
Kings*	\$ 241,500		\$ 32,722	\$ 274,222
Lake				
Lassen		\$ 200,000		\$ 200,000
Los Angeles			\$ 2,159,700	\$ 2,159,700
Madera				
Marin				
Mariposa				
Mendocino				
Merced			\$ 50,145	\$ 50,145
Modoc				
Mono				
Monterey			\$ 77,608	\$ 77,608
Napa*			\$ 27,361	\$ 27,361
Nevada	\$ 49,000		\$ 19,570	\$ 68,570
Orange			\$ 554,995	\$ 554,995
Placer	\$ 525,000		\$ 74,200	\$ 599,200
Plumas				
Riverside*			\$ 406,081	\$ 406,081
Sacramento	\$ 765,100		\$ 321,264	\$ 1,086,364
San Benito*	\$ 120,418			\$ 120,418
San Bernardino				
San Diego			\$ 511,305	\$ 511,305
San Francisco			\$ 186,352	\$ 186,352
San Joaquin				
San Luis Obispo	\$ 303,000		\$ 55,412	\$ 358,412
San Mateo	\$ 357,000		\$ 127,766	\$ 484,766
Santa Barbara*			\$ 80,979	\$ 80,979
Santa Clara			\$ 283,258	\$ 283,258
Santa Cruz			\$ 50,118	\$ 50,118
Shasta	\$ 365,067		\$ 48,222	\$ 413,289
Sierra				
Siskiyou				
Solano*	\$ 226,000		\$ 85,716	\$ 311,716
Sonoma*	\$ 267,750		\$ 90,062	\$ 357,812
Stanislaus			\$ 95,216	\$ 95,216
Sutter			\$ 24,065	\$ 24,065
Tehama		\$ 75,000		\$ 75,000
Trinity				
Tulare			\$ 77,350	\$ 77,350
Tuolumne				
Ventura*	\$ 232,552		\$ 132,048	\$ 364,600
Yolo*			\$ 45,620	\$ 45,620
Yuba		\$ 72,513		\$ 72,513
	<b>\$ 4,412,420</b>	<b>\$ 930,792</b>	<b>\$ 7,040,605</b>	<b>\$ 12,383,816</b>

Gray= Did not apply  
Yellow= Small Court

\*Project is being considered by an alternative branch funding source.

\*\*The court may receive up to this amount. A reduction could occur if the project is funded by an alternative funding source.

*This document is for deliberative purposes only. The Technology Committee will consider approving a final funding methodology at its meeting on May 16, 2024. Final recommended allocations based on the approved methodology will be confirmed by the Technology Committee Chairs following this meeting and submitted to the Judicial Council for approval.*

Option 2: Electronic Records Management (Proposed Project Recommendations)				
Court	Electronic Records Management (pro rata)	Small Court	Remaining Pro Rata	Allocation**
Court of Appeal	\$ 390,824		\$ 570,130	\$ 960,954
Alameda			\$ 269,571	\$ 269,571
Alpine				
Amador	\$ 9,283		\$ 13,542	\$ 22,826
Butte				
Calaveras	\$ 7,163		\$ 10,449	\$ 17,612
Colusa				
Contra Costa	\$ 109,727		\$ 160,069	\$ 269,796
Del Norte	\$ 7,965		\$ 11,619	\$ 19,585
El Dorado	\$ 19,618		\$ 28,619	\$ 48,237
Fresno*			\$ 191,499	\$ 191,499
Glenn		\$ 104,058		\$ 104,058
Humboldt	\$ 18,371		\$ 26,799	\$ 45,170
Imperial				
Inyo	\$ 5,249		\$ 6,751	\$ 12,000
Kern	\$ 131,921		\$ 192,445	\$ 324,366
Kings*			\$ 33,349	\$ 33,349
Lake				
Lassen	\$ 5,766		\$ 8,412	\$ 14,178
Los Angeles	\$ 1,508,847		\$ 2,201,089	\$ 3,709,937
Madera				
Marin				
Mariposa				
Mendocino				
Merced	\$ 35,033		\$ 51,106	\$ 86,140
Modoc				
Mono				
Monterey	\$ 54,220		\$ 79,095	\$ 133,315
Napa*			\$ 27,886	\$ 27,886
Nevada			\$ 19,945	\$ 19,945
Orange	\$ 275,000		\$ 565,631	\$ 840,631
Placer			\$ 75,622	\$ 75,622
Plumas				
Riverside*	\$ 283,703		\$ 413,863	\$ 697,566
Sacramento	\$ 224,447		\$ 327,420	\$ 551,867
San Benito*		\$ 102,000		\$ 102,000
San Bernardino				
San Diego	\$ 357,217		\$ 521,104	\$ 878,321
San Francisco	\$ 130,192		\$ 189,923	\$ 320,115
San Joaquin				
San Luis Obispo	\$ 38,713		\$ 56,473	\$ 95,186
San Mateo	\$ 89,262		\$ 130,214	\$ 219,476
Santa Barbara*	\$ 44,424		\$ 82,531	\$ 126,955
Santa Clara	\$ 197,895		\$ 288,687	\$ 486,582
Santa Cruz	\$ 35,014		\$ 51,078	\$ 86,093
Shasta			\$ 49,146	\$ 49,146
Sierra				
Siskiyou				
Solano*	\$ 59,885		\$ 87,359	\$ 147,244
Sonoma*			\$ 91,787	\$ 91,787
Stanislaus	\$ 66,521		\$ 97,040	\$ 163,562
Sutter			\$ 24,527	\$ 24,527
Tehama	\$ 12,590		\$ 18,366	\$ 30,956
Trinity				
Tulare			\$ 77,350	\$ 77,350
Tuolumne				
Ventura*			\$ 134,578	\$ 134,578
Yolo*			\$ 46,494	\$ 46,494
Yuba	\$ 12,848		\$ 18,742	\$ 31,590
	\$ 4,131,699	\$ 206,058	\$ 7,250,312	\$ 11,588,069

Gray= Did not apply  
Yellow= Small Court

\*Project is being considered by an alternative branch funding source.

\*\*The court may receive up to this amount. A reduction could occur if the project is funded by an alternative funding source.

*This document is for deliberative purposes only. The Technology Committee will consider approving a final funding methodology at its meeting on May 16, 2024. Final recommended allocations based on the approved methodology will be confirmed by the Technology Committee Chairs following this meeting and submitted to the Judicial Council for approval.*

Option 3: Infrastructure (Proposed Project Recommendations)				
Court	Infrastructure (fully fund)	Small Court	Remaining Pro Rata	Allocation **
Court of Appeals	\$ 350,000		\$ 668,184	\$ 1,018,184
Alameda	\$ 360,000			\$ 360,000
Alpine				
Amador		\$ 350,000		\$ 350,000
Butte				
Calaveras		\$ 117,221		\$ 117,221
Colusa				
Contra Costa			\$ 187,598	\$ 187,598
Del Norte		\$ 50,000		\$ 50,000
El Dorado			\$ 33,541	\$ 33,541
Fresno*			\$ 224,434	\$ 224,434
Glenn	\$ 104,058			\$ 104,058
Humboldt			\$ 31,408	\$ 31,408
Imperial				
Inyo		\$ 12,000		\$ 12,000
Kern	\$ 268,537		\$ 225,543	\$ 494,080
Kings*			\$ 39,084	\$ 39,084
Lake				
Lassen		\$ 200,000		\$ 200,000
Los Angeles			\$ 2,579,645	\$ 2,579,645
Madera				
Marin				
Mariposa				
Mendocino				
Merced			\$ 59,896	\$ 59,896
Modoc				
Mono				
Monterey	\$ 423,380		\$ 92,698	\$ 516,078
Napa*			\$ 32,681	\$ 32,681
Nevada			\$ 23,375	\$ 23,375
Orange	\$ 220,000		\$ 662,911	\$ 882,911
Placer			\$ 88,628	\$ 88,628
Plumas				
Riverside*	\$ 900,000		\$ 485,041	\$ 1,385,041
Sacramento			\$ 383,732	\$ 383,732
San Benito*		\$ 102,000		\$ 102,000
San Bernardino				
San Diego			\$ 610,727	\$ 610,727
San Francisco			\$ 222,587	\$ 222,587
San Joaquin				
San Luis Obispo			\$ 66,186	\$ 66,186
San Mateo			\$ 152,609	\$ 152,609
Santa Barbara*			\$ 96,726	\$ 96,726
Santa Clara			\$ 338,337	\$ 338,337
Santa Cruz			\$ 59,863	\$ 59,863
Shasta			\$ 57,598	\$ 57,598
Sierra				
Siskiyou				
Solano*	\$ 1,200		\$ 102,384	\$ 103,584
Sonoma*	\$ 63,674		\$ 107,574	\$ 171,248
Stanislaus	\$ 170,000		\$ 113,730	\$ 283,730
Sutter			\$ 28,745	\$ 28,745
Tehama		\$ 75,000		\$ 75,000
Trinity				
Tulare			\$ 77,350	\$ 77,350
Tuolumne				
Ventura*	\$ 112,021		\$ 157,724	\$ 269,745
Yolo*			\$ 54,490	\$ 54,490
Yuba		\$ 72,513		\$ 72,513
	\$ 2,972,870	\$ 978,734	\$ 8,065,029	\$ 12,016,633

Gray= Did not apply
Yellow= Small Court

\*Project is being considered by an alternative branch funding source.

\*\*The court may receive up to this amount. A reduction could occur if the project is funded by an alternative funding source.

*This document is for deliberative purposes only. The Technology Committee will consider approving a final funding methodology at its meeting on May 16, 2024. Final recommended allocations based on the approved methodology will be confirmed by the Technology Committee Chairs following this meeting and submitted to the Judicial Council for approval.*